

DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439  
[dbergeson@be-law.com](mailto:dbergeson@be-law.com)  
JOHN W. FOWLER, Bar No. 037463  
[jfowler@be-law.com](mailto:jfowler@be-law.com)  
MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373  
[mmorton@be-law.com](mailto:mmorton@be-law.com)  
MICHAEL W. STEBBINS, Bar No. 138326  
[mstebbins@be-law.com](mailto:mstebbins@be-law.com)  
BERGESON, LLP  
303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500  
San Jose, CA 95110-2712  
Telephone: (408) 291-6200  
Facsimile: (408) 297-6000

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
VERIGY US, INC.

**\*E-FILED - 1/2/08\***

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

VERIGY US, INC, a Delaware Corporation

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual;  
WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON  
TEST SYSTEMS, INC., a California Corporation;  
and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS, LLC, a  
California Limited Liability Corporation,  
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. C07 04330 RMW (HRL)

**[] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFF'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

Judge: Honorable Ronald M. Whyte  
Ctrm: 6

1 Now before the Court is Verigy's Administrative Motion For Leave To File Documents  
2 Under Seal. Upon consideration of the Administrative Motion for Leave to File Documents Under  
3 Seal and the supporting declaration of Michael W. Stebbins filed therewith, the Court finds there  
4 to be good cause for granting Plaintiff's request to file documents under seal.

5 GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:

6 (1) The parties possess overriding confidentiality interest that overcomes the right  
7 of public access to the record in the following documents:

8 a. Portions of the Objections to Defendants' Evidence in Support of Sur-  
9 Reply to Order to Show Cause Re: Preliminary Injunction, dated  
10 December 13, 2007.

11 a. Portions of the Declaration of Michael W. Stebbins in Support of  
12 Plaintiff's Objections to Defendants' Evidence in Support of Sur-Reply  
13 to Order to Show Cause Re: Preliminary Injunction, dated December  
14 13, 2007.

15 (2) The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the record;

16 (3) A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality  
17 interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;

18 (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and

19 (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Verigy's Motion for Leave to File Documents Under  
21 Seal is GRANTED.

22  
23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 Dated: 1/2/08, 2007



25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 Honorable Ronald M. Whyte  
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
28